SPERO T. LAPPAS, Esquire

V.

Pa. Supreme Court ID No. 25745 Serratelli, Schiffman, Brown and Calhoon, P.C. 2080 Linglestown Road Suite 201 Harrishurg, Pennsylvania 17110-9670 Telephone (717) 540-9170 Fax (717) 540-5481

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLEES DAVID GRAYBILL AND MICHAEL SWEGER

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

BEVERLY BEAM, :

: 1:CV-01-0083

: JUDGE MCCLURE

SCOTT DOWNEY, ROGER : MORRISON, DAVID GRAYBILL, : AND MICHAEL SWEGER :

<u>DEFENDANT GRAYBILL'S AND DEFENDANT SWEGER'S</u> <u>MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND A FINDING OF CONTEMPT</u>

1. On July 29, 2004 this court ordered Plaintiff Beverly Beam to pay to Defendant Graybill and Sweger the amounts of \$12,532.50 and \$56.03 as attorneys fees and non-taxable expenses.

- 2. That same Order directed that these amounts were to be paid within thirty days of July 29, 2004; i.e. by August 28, 2004.
 - 3. Since that time, the Plaintiff has filed an appeal from this court's order.
- 4. However, the Plaintiff neither sought nor obtained a supersedeas of that order, nor has the Plaintiff filed a bond for this appeal.
- 5. Accordingly, these defendants request that the court Order that Plaintiff and Attorney Bailey appear before the court for a hearing and thereafter find that the Plaintiff is in contempt of the order of July 29, 2004.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

SERRATELLI, SCHIFFMAN, BROWN AND CALHOON, P.C.

By:

SPERO T. LAPPAS, Esquire Pa. Supreme Ot. ID no. 25745 2080 Linglestown Road Suite 201 Harrisburg, PA 17110-9670 (717) 540-9170

CERTIFICATE OF CONCURRENCE/NON-CONCURRENCE

I hereby certify that on December 17, 2004 I contacted or attempted to contact opposing counsel DON BAILEY, Esquire and sought concurrence in the attached motion.

- [] Concurrence was granted.
- [] Concurrence was not granted.
- [X] Concurrence or non-concurrence could not be obtained because opposing counsel was unavailable. Opposing counsel is requested to call the undersigned upon receipt of this motion to advise of his/her position regarding concurrence or non-concurrence in the attached motion. IT IS ASSUMED THAT ATTORNEY BAILEY WILL NOT CONCUR IN THIS MOTION.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

By: